

Technical Panel Evaluation Criteria and Indicators

Title of Project Proposal

Name of Project Leader

Institution

Criteria	Indicator	Rating	Ave. Score	Weighted Score	Justification
1. Scientific Merit (30%)	Contribution to the advancement of knowledge and understanding in the field of Science and Technology	5	5.00	1.50	
	The research is at par with the existing studies; cutting edge; world class research	5			
	Sound scientific basis to generate new knowledge / innovate technology	5			
	Will contribute to the	5			
2. Methodology (30%)	The procedures are clear, well-organized, well-described and based on a sound rationale.	5	5.00	1.50	
	The proposed methods and results are valid, replicable and reliable	5			
	The proposed activities are reasonable to attain its expected outputs	5			

3. Financial Soundness (15%)	The proposed budget is reasonable in the conduct of the research. Expense items sought are appropriate and necessary	5	5.00	0.75	
	There are adequate counterpart resources available (e.g. expertise, facilities) to carry out the research.	5			
4. Timeframe (15%)	The duration of the project and its activities are reasonable.	5	5.00	0.75	
	The workplan is doable in a given timeframe.	5			
	The risk management plan was established to avoid delays in the project implementation.	5			
5. Other Issues (10%)	Compliance to regulatory requirements necessary in the conduct of research	5	5.00	0.50	
	Ethical issues (i.e do not harm, informed consent, voluntary participation, privacy, anonymity, confidentiality) are properly addressed	5			
Total Score			5.00	5.00	

Disposition

Points	Disposition
>=4.5 to 5.0	Highly Recommended
>=3.1 to <4.4	Recommended (subject to compliance from PMT Comments)
>=2.1 to <3.0	For Revision (subject for PMT Re-evaluation)
0.0 to <2.0	Not Recommended